



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,242	11/10/2005	Kevin R. Boyle	GB 030076	6713
65913	7550	12/18/2008	EXAMINER	
NXP, B.V. NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131			TRINH, TAN H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

# Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/556,242

**Applicant(s)**

BOYLE, KEVIN R.

**Examiner**

TAN TRINH

**Art Unit**

2618

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09-15-2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 8-17 and 20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6, 7, 18 and 19 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 November 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-5 and 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanford (U.S. patent No. 6,424,300) in view of Chang (U.S. Patent No. 5,142,255).

Regarding claims 1, 9 and 12, Sanford teaches a wireless terminal (601) (fig. 6C) including a substrate (704) having a ground plane (706) thereon (see fig. 6-7A-C, col. 17, lines 8-43), RF antenna components (700) mounted on the substrate and a PIFA (Planar Inverted-F Antenna) (see col. 14, lines 35-42, and col. 15, lines 59-62), having connections electrically (710) coupled to the ground plane (706), and the RF components (710 and 714) characterized in that a notch antenna (701 or 801) (see fig. 7A-C and 8A-D, and col. 4, lines 38-49) is provided in the substrate (704 or 804)) for receiving signals and transmitting signals to configured to selected frequency band (see fig. 6-7 and 10A-C, col. 17, lines 21-36). But Sanford teaches does not mention de-activating circuit for switching the notch antenna from receiving when the PIF is being used for transmitting signals.

However, Chang teaches notch antenna (see fig. 14, and 26) and switching circuit with band pass filter 30 to be switched on and off, the open ends of Coplanar waveguide (CPW) resonators are shunted by PIN diodes, the shun device integration to truly planar circuit, Moreover, to switch-able and tunable band-pass circuit for Coplanar waveguide (CPW)

resonators and the notch antenna such as de-activating the notch antenna and activating notch antenna using Varactor-tuned active notch antenna and GaAs FET with shorted end permitting gate of the GaAs FET to switching de-activating/activating notch antenna (see fig. 1, 14 and 26-28, col. 4, lines 20-36, col. 6, lines 15-30 and lines 63-67, col. 7, lines 1-21, col. 9, lines 4-19, and col. 11, lines 11-col. 12, lines 22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above teaching of Sanford with Chang, thereto in order to provide bias connections for active and passive semiconductor device for microwave and millimeter-wave power generation, tuning and switching, such as electronically-tuned antenna (see suggested by Chang on col. 12, lines 10-21).

Regarding claims 2 and 13, Sanford teaches characterized in that the PIFA is a dual band slotted planar patch antenna (see fig. 4-5 and 7-8, col. 1, lines 26-54, col. 8, lines 57-60).

Regarding claims 3, 11 and 15, Chang teaches a wireless terminal characterized in that the de-activating circuit is responsive to activation notch antenna to de-activate the Coplanar waveguide (CPW) (see Coplanar waveguide (CPW) resonators are shunted by PIN diodes fig. 1, 14 and 26-28, col. 4, lines 20-36, col. 6, lines 15-30 and lines 63-67, col. 7, lines 1-21, col. 9, lines 4-19, and col. 11, lines 11-col. 12, lines 22).

Regarding claims 4, 14 and 16 Chang teaches the de-activating circuitry (see fig. 1, 14 and 26) comprises circuit for de-tuning the antenna (see fig. 1, 7, 14, 24 and 26-28, col. 7, lines 1-21, col. 9, lines 4-19, and col. 11, lines 11-col. 12, lines 22).

Regarding claims 5, 10 and 17, Sanford teaches characterized in that capacitance means are connected across the notch for tuning the notch antenna and in that the means for de-activating the notch antenna comprises circuit for shorting the capacitance means (see fig. 6A-B capacitor 612A-B, col. 16, and lines 26-52). In this case, when de-activating the notch antenna is shunt the capacitance 612b.

3. Claims 8 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanford (U.S. patent No. 6,424,300) in view of Chang (U.S. Patent No. 5,142,255) in view of Schamberger (U.S. 2003/0117331).

Regarding claims 8 and 20, Sanford teaches PIFA and Notch antenna. But Sanford or Chang does not mention for measuring the contemporaneous quality of signals received by the PIFA and the notch antenna and for selecting for receiving signals that one of the PIFA and notch antenna receiving the better quality signals. However, such teaching is taught by Schamberger (see fig. 3-4, page 1, section [0007], page 3, section [0032-0034]). In this case, the selecting for receiving signals is on the center slot, since is better than 17 dB.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above combination of the teaching of Sanford and Chang with Schamberger, thereto in order to selects the betters receiving signal.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

4. Claims 6-7 and 18-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

***Reasons for allowance***

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 6-7 and 18-19 are allowed with the same reasons set forth in the previous Office action (paper mailed on 06-19-2008).

***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

7. **Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

**(571) 273-8300, (for Technology Center 2600 only)**

*Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314).*

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7888. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiners supervisor, Anderson, Matthew D., can be reached at (571) 272-4177.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office** whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tan H. Trinh  
Division 2618  
December 13, 2008

/TAN TRINH/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618  
12-13-2008